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implementation of their science assessment systems (21). In a report commissioned by that project, Quellmalz and Moody (22) proposed strategies for states to form collaboratives and use technology to create multilevel science assessment systems. With the goal of helping schools and students meet the NCLB goals, states are seeing classroom-based, instructional uses of assessment as a powerful tool for driving student achievement. Such assessment is distinguished from interim assessments administered periodically on a larger scale that are intended to describe the status of student performance after instruction (23).

A key feature in creating a balanced multilevel system is the use of common design specifications that can operate across classroom, district, state, and national levels (22). To enable implementation, online authoring systems are being developed that can assist in creating such common specifications, in streamlined test design, and in reducing development costs (24). Online design systems can also support adaptations of assessments to offer accommodations for special populations while preserving the linkages between targeted standards and designs of the tasks for eliciting evidence of achievement.

Conclusion

Technology helps us do many conventional things in the world of testing and assessment better and faster, and it holds the key to transforming current assessment practice for multiple purposes and at multiple levels ranging from the classroom to state, national, and international levels. We are not there yet, and although many obstacles remain to their widespread use, the next generation of technology-enabled assessments is under development with several promising cases of design, implementation, and use. Such demonstrations provide a vision of the possible and can help move education toward the design and adoption of more integrated and effective learning-centered assessment tools and systems.
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PERSPECTIVE

Video Games: A Route to Large-Scale STEM Education?

Merrilea J. Mayo

Video games have enormous mass appeal, reaching audiences in the hundreds of thousands to millions. They also embed many pedagogical practices known to be effective in other environments. This article reviews the sparse but encouraging data on learning outcomes for video games in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) disciplines, then reviews the infrastructural obstacles to wider adoption of this new medium.

In the 2000-to-2005 time frame, ~450,000 students graduated annually in the United States with a bachelor’s degree in STEM (1). These numbers pale in comparison to the reach of a single computer video game (Figs. 1 and 2). World of Warcraft (2), a fantasy game, has over 10 million current subscribers, with ~2.5 million in North America (3). Food Force (4), the U.N.-produced game on the mechanics of food aid distribution, saw 1 million players in its first 6 weeks and 4 million players in its first year (5). Additionally, in the realm of K-to-12 science and math education, the virtual world Whyville (6), with its game-based activities, now sports 4 million subscribers (90% North American), with the dominant demographic being 8- to 14-year-old girls (7, 8). Although traditional education institutions pride themselves on educating citizens, they do so at a relatively small scale compared with the media now available. Is it possible to greatly expand the reach of STEM education with the use of video games as the medium? And to what level of effectiveness?

At first, the idea of using video games to teach science and engineering seems laughable. However, sophisticated video game content already exists in topics ranging from immunology (9) (Fig. 3) to numerical methods (10, 11). The examples in Table 1 suggest that video games can yield a 7 to 40% positive learning increase over a lecture program. What’s more, there may be additional benefits to poor learners: One variant of the River City ecology game (12) diminished the learning gap between D and B students to the point where nearly all students were performing at the B-student level (13).

Learning outcomes are by no means uniformly positive. Results from review studies (14, 15) make

www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 323 2 JANUARY 2009 79
it clear that there are both well-designed games and poorly designed ones. Where learning benefits appear, they are attributed to effective pedagogical practices embedded in the game design (14–17). Of course, many of these same practices can also be applied to classroom, Web, or other forms of instruction with similar benefits, an approach known as game-informed learning (18).

Unlike lectures, games can be adapted to the pace of the user. Games also simultaneously present information in multiple visual and auditory modes, which capitalizes on different learning styles. J. P. Gee (16) identifies the former as the “just-in-time principle” and the latter as the “multimodal principle” in his book on video game–based learning (16), reviewed in (19). Games are also particularly adept at dosing information delivery. Complex tasks are presented first as a small core experience that is practiced multiple times before being progressively extended into a longer, more complex sequence. The superior efficiency of this approach (known as concurrent chaining) has been compared with whole-task learning in (20). Gee (16) describes this kind of task structuring through his “incremental principle,” “concentrated sample principle,” and “bottom-up basic-skills principle.”

Games are also useful for reinforcing information acquisition. The rich environment of objects and activities within games gives information “situated meaning”: the other contextual elements support the information being conveyed. Social surroundings can also reinforce content. Well-constructed social interactions around societal goals within the game will drive learner engagement and achievement, as has been studied in depth by S. Barab et al. in their Quest Atlantis project (21, 22). Content is further reinforced through continuous, immediate feedback: Almost every keystroke yields a response from the game. In contrast, students in a typical classroom get to ask 0.11 questions per hour (23). And, finally, a steady stream of positive rewards accompanies a game’s rapid feedback. Players accumulate points, levels, titles, or magic swords with some visible progress for even the tiniest successes. These rewards contribute to greater self-confidence/self-efficacy. Greater self-efficacy, in turn, translates to greater persistence and thus a higher level of accomplishment (24).

Learner control over navigation through tasks and activities is a surprisingly important feature of effective learning games. The meta-study by J. J. Vogel et al. (15) found learner control/autonomy to be one of the few easily identified predictors of enhanced learning outcomes, whereas the study by R. M. Ryan et al. (25) found that it was critical to enjoyment and motivation as well. Goals in games can often be reached by multiple routes [Gee’s “multiple routes principle” (16)]. But, in these branching decision structures, the learner must navigate between choices based on a considered estimation of relative consequences. In other words, the learner must operate at the highest level in faculty” as a major concern and that even 86% of those who stay say the same. R. R. Hake’s meta-study (31) of 6542 students in 62 introductory physics classes demonstrated only a 17% SD in learning outcomes across lecture-based classes. In contrast, the same study showed that switching to any interactive mode of instruction (e.g., group projects, Socratic lectures, participatory demonstrations) easily improved learning outcomes in education.
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Finally, with all else being equal, games invite more time on task. Teenagers commonly spend 5 to 8 hours per week playing games, and this equals or surpasses the time spent by students on homework each week (29). B. D. Coller’s racing car game, designed to teach numerical methods, resulted in twice the time spent by students on homework as a traditional class, with greater depth of understanding of the relations between concepts, and an overwhelming demand for the follow-up course (10, 11).

In contrast to the pedagogical and motivational elements found in games, some studies suggest that the lecture format is severely wanting. E. Seymour and N. Hewitt (30) chronicle near-universal antipathy to the undergraduate lecture experience, showing that 98% who leave science and engineering majors cite “poor teaching by faculty” as a major concern and that even 86% of those who stay say the same. R. R. Hake’s meta-study (31) of 6542 students in 62 introductory physics classes demonstrated only a 17% SD in learning outcomes across lecture-based classes. In contrast, the same study showed that switching to any interactive mode of instruction (e.g., group projects, Socratic lectures, participatory demonstrations) easily improved learning outcomes in
introductory physics by 108%. One could certainly argue that games are about the most interactive type of content that exists today. If video games are valid pedagogical delivery vehicles and they reach many more people than lectures, why do we not see video games adopted as the learning vehicle of choice? Cultural adoption lag exists, but we also face challenges of quantity, quality, and sustainability.

**Quantity**

It is often assumed that games with academic content are inherently uninteresting. Yet, 4 million children voluntarily play math-and-science-based exploration games on Whyville.net (7). In my opinion, most academically developed games suffer from infrastructural challenges rather than content challenges, with respect to mass adoption. Examples include the lack of any distribution mechanism for the product, the lack of product discoverability, the prohibitive expense of content creation, the dearth of meaningful assessment (and therefore of consumer confidence in the product), and the lack of sustainable business models.

The first infrastructural challenge is the lack of any mechanism for distribution, sales, or marketing. Grants will not pay for these essential business functions that are required to reach audiences in the millions. Instead, academic games are often relegated to the office shelf or personal Web site of their creator as soon as the grant is over. One way around this dilemma is for a third entity—for example, a not-for-profit organization—to take on the business activities in exchange for intellectual property rights from the content creator.

**Quality**

The ability to distinguish between a high- and low-quality product will be essential to the growth and credibility of game-based learning as a field. However, the first step in delivering quality is to be able to measure it. Assessment data are notoriously expensive to obtain, typically costing as much to develop as the original game. Few funders are willing to bear this double cost. To address this issue, the Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation (34) has begun investigating the possibility of creating a software infrastructure to automate certain assessment tasks, thereby standardizing assessment across different games, lowering the cost of assessment per game, and making it more likely that researchers and funders will engage in assessment activities. Automated assessment is surprisingly advanced in certain areas: For example, automated essay grading is now nearly identical to human essay grading (35, 36).

Games may also extend assessment into new areas. Whereas we say that we value 21st-century skills such as problem solving, teamwork, communication, and leadership, these essential traits are nowhere to be found on a modern transcript. An attractive dimension of game-based assessment is the potential to track sequences of user actions and communications, then map these onto higher-order

![Fig. 3. Protein-sized drone flying over macrophage surface in Immune Attack (9). The player is required to call neutrophils by using the drone’s ray gun to activate CXCL8 release.](www.sciencemag.org)
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skills and abilities. For example, in the case of problem solving, one can easily measure how often a user attempts a given problem. Attempt frequency (especially if each attempt is different) correlates highly to improved problem solving. Similarly, by monitoring users’ keystrokes while they navigate search engine results, we can distinguish between hypothesis-driven searches and random searches, another key indicator of advanced problem-solving skills.

Sustainability

The last major hurdle in expanding the use of game-based learning is arriving at sustainable business models. Academic game development, which depends on living from one grant to the next, is inherently unsustainable. However, if funders could lay the foundations in an initial grant, the same learning materials could transition to profit-generating models that could be used to expand the material’s reach after small-scale academic development is completed. These models could include corporate sponsorship, dual pay (free to some, but a fee for others) or sliding-scale fee models, subscriptions, site licensing, and the sale of virtual goods (e.g., downloadable wallpapers, electronic books that give game hints). Other business models could include leader sales to countries with nationalized education systems and hence centralized buying power, partnerships with commercial game distributors, and microcredits for microknowledge (a far-future economic concept wherein a user would pay, say, $0.99 to learn the Pythagorean theorem via a small educational module, in exchange for a math mini-credit that could aggregate with other mini-credits toward a degree). To my knowledge, none of these methods has yet been used to sustainably support academically developed games, with the possible exception of corporate sponsorship, which has supported the growth of academically developed but for-profit-operated Whyville.

Summary

Although the field is still in its embryonic stages, game-based learning has the potential to deliver science and math education to millions of users simultaneously. Unlike other mass-media experiments in education (e.g., TV, Webinars), games are a highly interactive medium with many key attributes shared with sophisticated pedagogical approaches. Large-scale adoption, however, still awaits key infrastructural developments to improve quantity (of users), quality (of product), and sustainability (of business models).
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PERSPECTIVE

Laptop Programs for Students

Andrew A. Zucker¹ and Daniel Light²

With the continuing decline in costs of technology, programs are proliferating worldwide to put networked laptop computers into the hands of millions of students on a routine basis. The reasons policy-makers support these programs are based on economic arguments, equity concerns, and widespread interest in education reform. Studies of laptop programs in schools report that they increase students’ engagement in school, improve technology skills, and have positive effects on students’ writing. However, evidence of the effectiveness of large-scale laptop programs in other learning domains is scarce. Research in many nations suggests that laptop programs will be most successful as part of balanced, comprehensive initiatives that address changes in education goals, curricula, teacher training, and assessment.

Interest in providing laptops to schoolchildren has been growing for more than a decade, with a school in Australia beginning what may have been the first such program in 1990 (1). Traditional manufacturers now offer many laptop models costing under US$900. In addition, less-expensive laptops especially designed for children and schools have become available, including the XO computer designed and distributed by One Laptop Per Child [a spinoff of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Media Lab] and the Intel Classmate personal computer (PC). Ultra-